THE HONORABLE KAREN STROMBOM 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 GARY MOSER, a single man, and MATTHEW MOSER, a single man, NO. C04-5239 KLS 11 12 Plaintiffs, **IN LIMINE** 13 VS. 14 PIERCE COUNTY, a municipal corporation, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS

THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the undersigned Judge of the abovementioned Court upon Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine, and the Court having reviewed the records and files herein, having considered arguments of counsel made during the pre-trial conference on June 2, 2005, and being fully advised on the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendants, their attorneys, and their witnesses shall not directly or indirectly mention, refer to, or attempt to convey to the jury in any manner, any of the facts indicated below without first obtaining the permission of the Court outside the presence and hearing of the jury and further that Defendant's attorneys are instructed to warn and caution

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 1 of 8 (C04-5239 KLS) [1311008 v3.doc]

1	their clients and each and every witness to strictly follow any order entered by the Court in		
2	connection with the following:		
3	A. INADMISSIBLE TESTIMONY REGARDING DEFENDANTS'		
4	FINANCIAL CONDITION OR ABILITY TO PAY; ASSERTIONS OF A POVERTY DEFENSE OR ARGUMENTS AS TO THE EFFECT OF		
5	JUDGMENT ON INSURANCE RATES OR COMPANIES		
6	V CDANTED DENIED DESERVED		
7	X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED		
8	COMMENTS: The motion is granted insofar as the defendants are not permitted to present evidence or argument regarding the fact that they are a non-profit		
9	corporation, insolvent defendants, or budget-strapped government entities for the purpose of implying poverty or an inability to pay a judgment.		
10	purpose of implying poverty of an inactive to pay a juagment.		
11	B. EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT RELATED TO PREJUDICING TAXPAYERS		
12	X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED		
13	COMMENTS:		
14			
15	C. DEFENSES NOT CONTAINED IN DISCOVERY OR INTERROGATORY RESPONSES		
16			
17	GRANTED <u>X</u> DENIEDRESERVED		
18	COMMENTS: Denied on the basis that the request lacks specificity for		
19	purposes of a motion in limine.		
20	D COLLATERAL SOURCE		
21	X GRANTED DENIEDRESERVED		
22			
23	COMMENTS: The plaintiffs conceded they were not seeking recovery regarding medical expenses.		
24			
25			

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 2 of 8 (C04-5239 KLS)
[1311008 v3.doc]

26

1	E. TA	X ON RECOVERY		
2		X_GRANTED	DENIED	RESERVED
3		COMMENTS:		
4	F. DI	EFENDANTS SHOULD	BE PRELCUDED F	ROM CALLING ANY
5	UI	NDISCLOSED WITNESS	SES THAT WERE I	NOT DEPOSED BY PLAINTIFFS
6		X GRANTED _	DENIED	RESERVED
7	CO	OMMENTS: Granted as t	o the parties' case in	chief; reserved as to rebuttal
8	witnesses			
9	G.	DEFENDANTS SHOUL	D RE PRECLUDE	D FROM INTRODUCING
10	G.	UNDISLCOSED EXPE		
11		<u>X</u> GRANTED _	DENIED	RESERVED
12		COMMENTS:		
13				
14	H.	DEFENDANTS SHOUL		
15				ATTHEW'S DAMAGES
16		X GRANTED _	DENIED	RESERVED
17		COMMENTS:		
18	T		IDICIALLY AND	
19	I.	FROM ARGUING THA		COLLATERALLY ESTOPPED D CONSENUAL SEX WITH
20		NELSON		
21		GRANTED	DENIED	XRESERVED
22	11	COMMENTS: The cou		of the instructions in the
23	under	ying criminal trial as well	as verdict form.	
24				
25				
26				

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 3 of 8 (C04-5239 KLS)
[1311008 v3.doc]

1	J.	HEARSAY TESTIMONY AND ARGUMENT ABOUT NELSON'S DISPOSITION WHILE IN HIS CELL DURING THE 50 DAYS
2		PRECEDING THE RAPE SHOULD BE EXCLUDED
3		GRANTED _X DENIEDRESERVED
4		COMMENTS:
5		
6		
7	K.	EVIDENCE REGARDING NELSON'S POST-RAPE DISPOSITION AND DEMEANOR SHOULD BE EXCLUDED
9		GRANTED X DENIED RESERVED
10	invest	COMMENTS: This evidence relates to the defendant's response and igation regarding the allegations made by the plaintiff.
11	L.	DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE PRECLUDED FROM INTRODUCING
12		EVIDENCE OR ARGUING THAT PLACING MATTHEW IN A CELL WITH NELSON WAS THE ONLY AVAILABLE HOUSING OPTION AS A
13		RESULT OF JAIL OVER-CROWDING
14		_X GRANTED DENIEDRESERVED
15		COMMENTS:
16		
17	M.	ONLY THE LAY WITNESSES WITH FIRST HAND KNOWLEDGE
18		ABOUT THE RAPE CAN PROVIDE TESTIMONY ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED
19		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
20		COMMENTS: This motion is granted only as to that portion of Officer
21		nuth's deposition testimony as set forth in the Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine, Dkt.
22	#32.	
23		
24		
25		
26		

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 4 of 8 (C04-5239 KLS)
[1311008 v3.doc]

1		EVIDENCE REGARDIN INADMISSIBLE	NG MATTHEW'S J	UVENILE C	ONVICTIONS IS
2					
3		GRANTED	DENIED	XRES	SERVED
4	III	COMMENTS: The court cations officer considered			
5					
6 7		EVIDENCE REGARDIN INTEREST IN GUNS IS		WEAPONS (CHARGE OR
8		GRANTED	DENIED	RESE	ERVED
9		COMMENTS: This mot	ion was withdrawn	by the plainti	ffs.
10					
11					
12		EVIDENCE REGARDIN ENCOUNTERS OR SEX			EXUAL
13					
14		X GRANTED	DENIED	RESE	ERVED
15		COMMENTS: Granted to the jury in opening state			
16	the cou	rt's attention outside the party in this regard.			
17	testino	ny m una regura.			
18					
19	_	UNRELIABLE AND UN HAVING "CROSS-DRE			
20					
21		X GRANTED	DENIED	RESE	ERVED
22		COMMENTS: See comm	ments for "P" above	·.	
23					
24					
25					
26					

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 5 of 8 (C04-5239 KLS)
[1311008 v3.doc]

1	R.	EVIDENCE REGARDING WHAT WAS FOUND IN MATTHEW'S BACKPACK SHOULD BE EXCLUDED
2		X GRANTED DENIEDRESERVED
3		
4		COMMENTS: See comments for "P" above.
5		
6		
7	S.	INADMISSIBLE CHARACTER EVIDENCE (as detailed in the motion) SHOULD BE EXCLCUDED
9		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
10		COMMENTS: See comments for "P" above.
11		
12	T.	EVIDENCE REGARDING GARY MOSER'S DRIVING OFFENSES SHOULD BE EXCLUDED
13		
14		X GRANTED DENIEDRESERVED
15		COMMENTS:
16 17	U.	PHYSICAL ENCOUNTER BETWEEN MATTHEW AND GARY MOSER
18		SHOULD BE EXLCUDED AS BEING UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL
19		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
20		COMMENTS: See comments to "P" above.
21		
22	V.	ANY ARGUMENT OR EVIDENCE RELATED TO MATTHEW WAITING
23		OUTSIDE WHILE GARY MOSER HAD A DRINK IN A BAR FROM OVER 10 YEARS AGO SHOULD BE EXCLUDED
24 25		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
26		COMMENTS: See comments to "P" above.
	00000000	A DATABLE OF THE ACTION OF THE ACTION

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 6 of 8 (C04-5239 KLS)
[1311008 v3.doc]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA,
PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100
POST OFFICE BOX 1157
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

1		
2		
3	W.	ANY SUGGESTION THAT MATTHEW EXPERIMENTED WITH MARIJUANA AND/OR ALCOHOL SHOULD BE EXCLUDED BECAUSE
4		IT IS UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL
5		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
6		COMMENTS: See comments to "P" above.
7		
8	X.	EMPLOYMENT OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL
9	Λ.	
10		X GRANTED DENIEDRESERVED
11		COMMENTS:
12		
13	Y.	EXCLUSION OF WITNESSES PRIOR TO TESTIFYING
14		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
15		COMMENTS: The County may have a representative present on its behalf
16	during	g the trial. That person has been tentatively identified as Eileen Bisson.
17	_	
18	Z.	FILING OF THESE MOTIONS IN LIMINE
19		X GRANTED DENIED RESERVED
20		COMMENTS:
21		
22		The defendant Pierce County filed two motions in limine which were included
23	in their writt	en response (Dkt. #40). The court rules as follows with regard to the two
24	motions:	
25		
26		
	ORDER RE: PL	AINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE - 7 of 8

[1311008 v3.doc]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA,
PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100
POST OFFICE BOX 1157
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

1. EVIDENCE REFERRED TO IN A MEMO DATED FEBRUARY 18, 2003 AND THE MEMO ITSELF.

The court grants the motion to the extent that the memo itself is not admissible into evidence. The court further grants the motion to the extent that the memo included remedial measures. The plaintiff believes that the paragraph on page two which starts with "After reviewing all materials..." is an admission. The court directed additional briefing in that regard due on Wednesday, June 8, 2005.

2. EXCLUDE ANY E-MAIL COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN OFFICER FRIERMUTH AND EILEEN BISSON RELATED TO THIS INVESTIGATION.

The court reserves ruling on this motion.

Dated this 9th day of June, 2005.

Karen L. Strombom
THE HONORABLE KAREN STROMBOM